Exhibit "A"

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF RUSSELL COUNTY, ALABAMA

STATE OF ALABAMA,)	CRIMINAL CASE NUMBER
Plaintiff.)	CC-02-186-188
)	
VS.)	
)	
JERRY E. WHITLEY,)	
Defendant)	

AFFIDAVIT OF MELBA JEAN WHITLEY

TO: The Honorable George Greene, Circuit Judge

KNOW ALL MEN BY THESE PRESENTS that I, Melba Jean Whitley, of Harris County, Georgia, after having been first placed under oath do hereby state to the Court that I am the mother of Jerry Whitley, the defendant in this case; that on this morning, the morning of September 4, 2002, my son Jerry Whitley, who was at that time out on bond, took me to my doctor appointment. I had asked him to take me to the doctor's office, Family Practice, in Columbus, Georgia, where I saw Dr. Green. Jerry and I must have gotten the times mixed up and thought that the docket call in this case was at 2:00 p.m. I swear or affirm that the foregoing is true and correct.

. ac and control		
Dated the 4 th day (of September, 2002.	Melea Jean WHITLEY
		MELBA JEAN WHITLEY
State of Alabama)	ŕ
Russell County)	

I, Laurel W. Farrar, a Notary in and for said County and State, hereby certify that Melba Jean Whitley, whose name is signed to the foregoing affidavit and who is known to me, who being first duly sworn on oath, acknowledged before me on this day, that being informed of the contents of said affidavit executed the same voluntarily on the day the same bears date.

Given under my hand this the 4th day of September, 2002.

Notary Public (My Commission expires: 07/20/03)

Seal



BUSTER LANDREAU
CHIEF DEPUTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY

GREG WALDREP ASSISTANT DISTRICT ATTORNEY

J. MAXWELL SMITH ASSISTANT DISTRICT ATTORNEY

JOE EDWARDS
ASSISTANT DISTRICT ATTORNEY

KENNETH E. DAVIS

DISTRICT ATTORNEY

TWENTY-SIXTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT RUSSELL COUNTY, ALABAMA

Post Office Box 939 Phenix City, Alabama 36868-0939 (334) 298-6028 / (334) 297-0916 Fax (334) 291-5453

September 5, 2002

Laurel Farrar Attorney at Law P.O. Drawer 2500 Phenix City, Al 36868-2500

Re: Jerry Whitley CC-02-186,187,188

Dear Laurel:

This is in response to your letter of September 5, 2002. It seems apparent that you do not fully understand the position of the State. Sherwin Boswell, who as Director of the Lab should be familiar with this situation, informs me that the samples you are requesting are considered Hazardous Materials by the shipping companies. Therefore they not only require special packing but also require the shipper (in this case the state) to assume financial liability for any damages in the event the package should be damaged or the hazardous materials released.

Since these samples would be shipped to an expert that we are unfamiliar with, and those samples would have to be shipped back, it seems to me it is unreasonable that you and your client would expect the state to undertake this risk I am equally certain law enforcement does not want to undertake this risk.

In several conversations you have, based upon a spouse working for the Post Office, intimated that we are overstating the situation and that it would be a simple thing to do. While I obviously think you are mistaken, I would offer the following:

The state has no objection to you securing the appropriate shipping materials and taking the same to the Auburn Lab where the samples would be packaged by you for shipment by you via whatever medium you chose.

Since it is you and your client that desire an independent analysis by an expert of your choice I would think this would be satisfactory. Please inform me of when you will arrive at the Auburn Lab.

Sincerely

Buster Landreau

Chief Deputy District Attorney

Case 3:05-cv-00427-MEF-CSCEL Document 12-11, Filed 07/19/2005

PHENIX CITY, ALABAMA 36867

Page 3 9 448

Telephone (334) 297-2400 Facsimile (334) 297-3842

Mailing Address: P.O. Box Drawer 2500 Phenix City, AL 36868-2500

*JEFFREY C. EZELL RICHARD L. CHANCEY LAUREL W. FARRAR R. MICHAEL RAIFORD *Licensed in Alabama and Georgia

> Hon. Buster Landreau Chief Deputy District Attorney Russell County Courthouse Phenix City, Alabama

Dear Buster:

Re:

State of Alabama vs. Jerry E. Whitley

Russell County Circuit Court Case Nos. CC-02-186, 187, 188

Yesterday I spoke to Sherwin Boswell. I found out that neither of the samples had been shipped to the independent laboratory as yet. I had spoken to him concerning the issues in this case several times before, specifically, his being unwilling to assume the responsibility for shipping any samples of the seized substances as ordered. He stated that there are various rules and regulations which are required by the shippers who do allow shipment of hazardous materials, and he does not have the required materials to comply with these rules, such as having a particular type of cardboard box, etc.

He stated that he thought perhaps if law enforcement were to pick up the two samples, they could be the ones to assume responsibility for shipment to Las Vegas. Would you please work something out where these items can get to the laboratory and to Dr. Hiatt? All I can say is, it is in the order, it can be done, and I have prior approval for shipping costs, so if the state wants to bill the firm, I have no problem with us cutting a check to Airborne Express, or whatever. Thanks.

Sincerely,

Journal W Farrar

LWF:lwf

cc: Mr. Jerry E. Whitley

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF RUSSELL COUNTY, ALABAMA

STATE OF ALABAMA. Plaintiff.))	CRIMINAL CASE CC-02-186-188	NUMBER	. :
VS.)		2-458 3-458) =
JERRY E. WHITLEY, Defendant.)		OFFICE Millo: 42	,

AMENDMENT TO MOTION TO SET ASIDE WRIT OF ARREST AND WITHDRAW REVOCATION OF BOND

Comes now the defendant in the above-stated matter, by and through his attorney, Laurel W. Farrar, and requests that the Court amend his motion filed on the 4th of September to add the following:

- 1. Defendant would show that his bond was reduced by this Court on the 27th of March, 2002, to \$60,000.00 in case number CC 02-186, \$10,000.00 in case number CC 02-187, and \$1,000.00 in case number CC 02-188.
- 2. Defendant would show that he is unable to make the bond amounts originally set in the above style cases.
- Defendant would show that his attorney had previously in the above cases filed motions to reduce bond and writs of habeas corpus which resulted in the court's lowering of his bond, and it would be expedient and efficient for the Court to set the bond in these amounts at this time.
 - 4. Defendant would request that his bond be reset in these amounts at this time.
 - 5. Defendant would set forth the other propositions contained in his original motion

and request that the Court consider them to be incorporated herein.

where FORE, defendant moves this Court to reconsider its issuance of a writ and towithdraw its revocation of his bond, setting the bond in the above, lowered amounts as previously ordered, and moves this Court to again consider the original motion as amended, as
requested herein.

Respectfully submitted,

EZELL & CHANCEY, LLP

By:

Laurel W. Farrar

Attorneys for Defendant

1200 8th Avenue

P. O. Drawer 2500

Phenix City, AL 36868-2500

(334) 297-2400

Attorney Code FAR-036

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I am attorney for the defendant and that I have served a copy of the within and foregoing amendment to motion upon the District Attorney of Russell County, Alabama, by placing a copy thereof in a receptacle held in his name in the Office of the Clerk of this Court, this 5th day of September, 2002.

Laurel W. Farrar

IN THE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS OF ALABAMA

JERRY E. WHITLEY,)	CASE NO.	
Petitioner,)		
)	RUSSELL COUNTY	
VS.)	CIRCUIT COURT	
• • •)	CASE NO. CC-02-186,	, 187 (188 🗒
STATE OF ALABAMA and THOMAS F.)		
BOSWELL, Sheriff of Russell County, Alabama,)		
Respondents.)		
·			भाग भागा ह
PETITION FOR WRIT	OF HAI	BEAS CORPUS	ည (T

Petitioner petitions this Court to issue a writ of habeas corpus requiring Thomas F. Boswell, Sheriff of Russell County, Alabama, to bring petitioner before this Honorable Court to show just cause as to why petitioner's bond should not be reduced, and as cause therefor, states as follows:

- This petition for a writ of habeas corpus is made on behalf of Jerry E. Whitley, by 1. and through his attorney, Laurel W. Farrar.
- Petitioner is imprisoned and restrained of his liberty in the Russell County Jail in 2. Phenix City, Alabama, by the Sheriff on felony charges, to-wit: Possession of methamphetamine, resisting arrest and trafficking in methamphetamine, pursuant to indictments issued on January 16, 2002.
- Petitioner is restrained of his liberty on said felony charges with bails in the 3. amounts of \$250,000.00 for the charge of Trafficking Methamphetamine, \$20,000.00 for the charge of Possession of a Controlled Substance, and \$1,000.00 for the misdemeanor charge of Resisting Arrest, for a total of \$271,000.00. Said amounts were set by the Honorable George Greene, Russell County Circuit Court Judge.

- Petitioner filed a Petition for Writ of *Haheas Corpus* on March 13, 2002, and on March 27, 2002, this Court reduced said bond amounts to \$60,000.00 in Case Number CC-02-186, \$10,000.00 in Case Number CC-02-187, and \$1,000.00 in Case Number CC-02-188.
- After petitioner's bond amounts were so reduced, petitioner's family members assisted him and he was subsequently released on bond. While petitioner was out on bond, he kept in touch with his attorney on an almost daily basis, kept all appointments with his attorney and his bonding company and attended all docket calls and motion hearings.
- 6. These cases appeared on this Court's docket to be called on September 4, 2002, at 10:00 a.m. Petitioner mistakenly thought the docket call was to be held at 2:00 p.m., and at 10:00 a.m. was transporting his mother to a doctor's appointment. Petitioner's attorney contacted him immediately, and he arrived in court shortly after 11:00 a.m. When petitioner arrived, he was arrested, and the Court set aside the bond reduction and reinstated the original bond amounts.
- 7. On September 4, 2002, the undersigned attorney submitted to the Circuit Court a Motion to Set Aside Writ of Arrest and Withdraw Revocation of Bond, and on September 5 submitted an amendment thereto. Copies of said motions and order are attached hereto.
- 8. The present bond amount is excessive in violation of the constitutional rights of petitioner as set forth in the Eighth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution and in violation of the constitutional rights of petitioner as set forth in Article I, Section XVI of the Alabama Constitution.
- 9. The present bond amount is contrary to the provisions of Section 15-13-2, Code of Alabama, as amended, which recognizes the allowance of bail as a matter of right prior to conviction.

- The present bond amount is excessive to such a degree that it effectively denies petitioner the right to bail prior to conviction.
- The present bond amount greatly and unreasonably exceeds the recommended range of bail as provided under Rule 7.2 of the Alabama Rules of Criminal Procedure.

WHEREFORE, petitioner prays:

- a. That this Honorable Court grant a writ of *habeas corpus*, and after reviewing all of the evidence and argument of counsel;
- b. That this Honorable Court set a bond that is reasonable and not excessive, all within the guidelines dictated by the Constitutions of Alabama and the United States; and .
- c. Grant such other and further relief as may be deemed just in the premises.

1/

By:

Laurel W. Farrar

Attorneys for Petitioner

P. O. Drawer 2500

Phenix City, AL 36868-2500

EZELL & CHANCEY, LLP

(334) 297-2400

Attorney Code FAR-036

Case 3:05-cv-00427-MEF-CSC Document 12-11 Filed 07/19/2005 Page 9 of 51

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF RUSSELL COUNTY, ALABAMA

STATE OF ALABAMA,)	CRIMINAL CASE NUMBER
Plaintiff,)	CC-02-186, 187, (188)
)	
VS.)	
)	
JERRY E. WHITLEY,)	
Defendant.)	

MOTION TO COMPEL COMPLIANCE WITH THE COURT'S ORDER FOR TRANSPORT OF SAMPLES FOR INDEPENDENT ANALYSIS

Comes now the above-named defendant, by and through his attorney of record, and files this motion pursuant to Rule 16.5 of the Alabama Rules of Criminal Procedure, requesting that the Court compel the District Attorney to comply with this Court's Order dated August 9, 2002, that the Alabama Department of Forensic Science send a representative sample of two portions of the seized substances in this case to the named independent laboratory expert, Dr. John Hiatt, for an independent analysis.

In support of this motion, the defendant would show the following, to-wit:

- 1. That on August 9, 2002, this Court issued an order entitled <u>Order for Transport of Samples for Independent Analysis</u>.
- That a separate order entitled <u>Order Approving Extraordinary Expenses</u> for the cost of the independent laboratory expense was issued on the same date as the Court's Order for Transport.
- That the State of Alabama, represented by and through the District Attorney, objected to the Court's order in its Motion to Amend Order filed on August 15, 2002.
- That the defendant, by and through his attorney of record, filed an Objection to Motion to Amend Order on August 16, 2002.

- 5. That the attorney for the defendant has done preliminary research into what materials can and cannot be shipped by certain ground and/or air couriers, and the District. Attorney has stated that the defendant's attorney should be the one to ship the materials to the independent research laboratory, to which defendant objects and which is contrary to this Court's Order.
- 6. That the attorney for the defendant and the District Attorney have been in communication orally and in writing concerning the fact that one of these samples contains a substance which may cause the State of Alabama to incur a liability if the package should be damaged during shipment.
- 7. That the parties disagree as to who should bear the liability in the unlikely event the substance cause damage, whether to itself or otherwise.
- 8. That because of this communication back and forth, and because there is currently an order that the State of Alabama is to send the samples of the seized substance, the attorney for the defendant has come to realize that the State of Alabama has no intention of sending the sample, and therefore, a pleading must be filed in order to compel compliance with the order of this Court.
- 9. That this case was first continued at least one term due to the laboratory report's not being back from the Alabama Department of Forensic Science.
- That the case had to be continued from the late August/early September term, presumably because the seized materials had not yet been shipped to the independent laboratory for analysis.

That the defendant requests that the Court compel the State of Alabama, by and through the District Attorney of Russell County, to ship the seized materials to the independent research laboratory in Las Vegas, Nevada, as previously ordered.

WHEREFORE, the premises considered, the defendant, by and through his attorney, requests the relief prayed for herein:

- a. That the Court compel immediate compliance with the Court's Order that the seized materials in the custody of the State of Alabama, currently located at the Auburn, Alabama location of the Alabama Department of Forensic Science, identified in its report in this case dated June 14, 2002, be appropriately packaged according to the selected courier's requirement;
- b. That immediately, or as soon as is practicable, said items be sent to Dr. John Hiatt, American Medical Laboratories, Quest Diagnostics, 4230 Burnham Avenue, Suite 250, Las Vegas, Nevada 89119, telephone number (702) 733-7866, fax number (702) 733-0318, with advance notification to Dr. Hiatt by the authorized person shipping the materials with confirmation of the shipment and the reference number;
- c. That the Court identify who or what entity shall be liable should there be inadvertent non-compliance with any regulatory requirement concerning shipment of unusual substances, or should any unforeseen injury or accident occur while the properly packaged substance is in transit;
- d. That the Court allow any other remedy relating to the procurement of a fair, independent analysis which it finds appropriate under Rule 16.5 of the Alabama Rules of Criminal Procedure, under the Constitution of the United States and the Constitution and the laws of the State of Alabama, consistent with the requirements of justice and fairness.

Respectfully submitted, this 23rd day of September, 2002,

EZELL & ÇHANCEY, LLP

ву:

Laurel W. Farrar

Attorneys for Defendant

1200 8th Avenue

P. O. Drawer 2500

Phenix City, AL 36868-2500

(334) 297-2400

Attorney Code FAR-036

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I am attorney for the defendant and that I have served a copy of the within and foregoing Motion upon the District Attorney of Russell County, Alabama, by placing a copy thereof in a receptacle held in his name in the Office of the Clerk of this Court, this 23rd day of September, 2002.

Page 4

Case 3:05-cv-00427-MEF-CSC Document 12-11 Filed 07/19/2005 Page 13 of 51 STATE OF ALABAMA

* RUSSELL COUNTY, ALABAMA ...

CASE NO. CC-02-186,187,188

RESPONSE TO MOTION TO COMPEL

JERRY E. WHITLEY

Comes now the State of Alabama and in response to the "Motion to Compel" filed herein by Defendant and says as follows:

- 1 Heretofore the Defendant has made a Motion under Rule 16.1(c) to be permitted to analyze the controlled substances seized by the State from Defendant and for funds to hire an expert to conduct the analysis.
 - 2 Rule 16.1(c) requires the State to "permit" the defendant to conduct such an analysis.
 - 3 The Court granted the Motion and granted funds to hire an expert.
- 4 The attorney for Defendant selected an expert in Las Vegas, Nevada. Defense counsel did not make any inquiry as to whether this would cause shipping problems.
- 5 After the Order was received by the State (which was the first time the State was notified of the identity and/or location of the defense expert) the State informed Defense Counsel that per Sherwin Boswell one of the samples would be considered a hazardous material and the shipper would have to incur financial responsibility for any damage caused by said materials during shipping and further that such liability would be substantial.
- 6 The defense counsel, several days later, informed the undersigned that she had checked with several shipping companies and they would ship the hazardous material without financial liability. Sherwin Boswell checked with each company and was informed that special shipping

Page 14 of 51 459 Case 3:05-cv-00427-MEF-CSC Document 12-11 Filed 07/19/2005 materials would have to be used and the shipper would still be financially responsible.

7 - The State informed defense counsel of this via letters dated 8-23-2002 and 9-5-2002. copies of which are attached hereto. In the letter of 9-5-2002 the State offered to allow Defense Counsel to secure the necessary packing materials, take the same to the lab and ship the materials with either Counsel or her client being the shipper and therefore incurring the liability of doing so.

- 8 The State feels this offer was fair and reasonable particularly in light of the fact that the State is totally unfamiliar with the expert chosen by the Defendant, his qualifications for handling hazardous materials or his facilities for handling such materials.
- 9 The State further asserts that its responsibility of Rule 16.1 of the Alabama Rules of Criminal Procedure is to "permit" the defendant's expert to analyze the controlled substance and does not extent to incurring substantial risks to ship the substance.
- 10 Defense Counsel responded to the State's Offer by declaring that neither she nor her client were willing to incur the potential liability.
- 11 The State finds the position of Defendant and Counsel somewhat puzzling in light of Defense Counsel's repeated assertions that there is little or no risk associated with shipping the sample and particularly puzzling in light of the fact that either the Defendant, Counsel or their expert will have to ship the materials back to the State.
- 12 The State has been, and continues to be, willing to allow Defendant or his counsel to ship the materials and incur the risk or in the alternative the State is willing to allow the Defense expert to use its laboratory facilities in Auburn to conduct an analysis.

In conclusion the State submits that it is manifestly unjust to require the Department of Forensic Sciences to incur a potentially substantial liability to ship the samples to a person and place of the defendant's choosing and that it is reasonable to require the defendant to assume such liability Case 3:05-cv-00427-MEF-CSC Document 12-11 Filed 07/19/2005 Page 15/01/16/0

since it is the defendant who is requesting the independent analysis and it is the defendant who has control of the expert and the defendant will have to incur liability in any event to return the items and the defendant is the only one with any control over the return of the items.

The State further submits that it has made every reasonable effort to work with Defense Counsel to resolve this matter and that the failure to resolve the issue has been due to the stubbornness and unreasonableness displayed by defendant.

Done this ______ 24 Th day of ________. 2002

Buster Landreau

Chief Deputy District Attorney

Certificate of Service

The undersigned hereby certificates that he has served a copy of this pleading upon Counsel for Defendant on the date set forth above.

Buster I andreau

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF RUSSELL COUNTY, ALABAMA

STATE OF ALABAMA,)	CRIMINAL CASE NUME	BER .
Plaintiff,)	CC-02-186, 187, 188	
)		
VS.)		- ,
)		-) -
JERRY E. WHITLEY,)		-
Defendant.)		= =
REPLY TO RES	SPONSE TO MO	OTION TO COMPEL	3

REPLY TO RESPONSE TO MOTION TO COMPEL

Comes now the defendant, by and through his attorney of record, and makes the following reply to the State's Response to Motion to Compel the District Attorney to comply with this Court's Order dated August 9, 2002.

- The Order in controversy was issued on defendant's motions filed in June this 1. year. The District Attorney was served with copies of said motions, and hearings were subsequently held thereon at which the State did not mention any anticipated problems that might be encountered in shipping the samples for independent analysis.
- At the request of the Court, defendant submitted supplemental information, 2. including the identity and location of defendant's expert, on July 29, and a copy was served upon the District Attorney. The statement in Paragraph 5 of the State's response that the first notice of this was the Order of August 9 is simply not true.
- In Paragraph 2 of the Order in issue this Court ordered that the samples be 3. delivered to the expert by the Alabama Department of Forensic Sciences no later than August 12. Said Paragraph 2 further required that the samples be shipped "after communicating with Dr. Hiatt...to determine the requirements for samples and packaging. No objection was made to this Order until August 15 when the District Attorney filed a Motion to Amend Order.

Therefore, it is the defendant's position that it is incumbent upon the State to comply with the Court's directives and that the responsibility therefor does not lie with the defendant, with or without the State's magnanimous concession of allowing defendant's counsel to do all work and assume all responsibility and liability in connection therewith as mentioned in Paragraph 7 of its response.

- 5. At the conclusion of the analysis, the samples will certainly be shipped back to the State by Dr. Hiatt, but defense counsel finds the State's position in Paragraph 11 of its response that this is somehow associated with the task of shipping the samples to Dr. Hiatt is somewhat puzzling.
- 6. The State avers, in bold type, that it has made every reasonable effort to work with Defense Counsel to resolve this matter, but defendant has displayed stubbornness and unreasonableness. Defendant respectfully submits that this is not a matter to be resolved between the parties, but rather is a direct Order of this Court which the State has spent a great deal of time and effort to sidestep.

WHEREFORE, the premises considered, the defendant, by and through his attorney, again requests that the Court compel the State to immediately comply with this Court's Order of August 9, 2002.

Respectfully submitted, this 1st day of October, 2002,

- 17

aurel W. Fartar

Attorneys for Defendant

P. O. Drawer 2500

Phenix City, AL 36868-2500

EZELL & CHANCEY, LLP

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I am attorney for the defendant and that I have served a copy of the within and foregoing Reply upon the District Attorney of Russell County, Alabama, by placing a copy thereof in a receptacle held in his name in the Office of the Clerk of this Court, this 1st day of October, 2002.

Laurel W. Farrar

Case 3:05-cv-00427-MEF-CSC Document 12-11 Filed 07/19/2005 Page 19 of 50 464

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF

RUSSELL COUNTY, ALABAMA

STATE OF ALABAMA

PLAINTIFF,

VS.

JERRY E. WHITLEY

)

ONLY

CASE NO. CC-02-186-188

ORDER

The defendant having filed a motion to set aside writ of arrest and withdraw revocation of bond and a motion in limine and the Court having considered same, it is ORDERED that a hearing is set for the 23rd day of October, 2002 at 3:00 P.M. in Courtroom No. 1, Russell County Courthouse.

Dated this the 9th day of October 2002.

: OFFICE:

DEFENDANT.

JUDGE, CIRCUIT COURT

Case 3:05-cv-00427-MEF-CSC	Document 12-11	Filed 07/19/2005 Page 20 60 0 465
STATE OF ALABAMA PLAINTIFF,)	RUSSELL COUNTY, ALABAMA
VS.)	CASE NO.: CC 02-186-188
JERRY E. WHITLEY))	
DEFENDANT.)	

ORDER

The defendant having filed a motion to compel, compliance with the Court's order for transport of samples for independent analysis and the Court having considered same, it is ORDERED that the motion to compel is denied.

DONE this the 10th day of October 2002.

HIDGE CIRCUIT COURT

Case 3:05-cv-00427-MEF-CSC Document 12-11

JERRY E. WHITLEY

PETITIONER,

VS.

STATE OF ALABAMA and THOMAS F.

BOSWELL, Sheriff of Russell

County, Alabama,

RESPONDENTS.

Filed 07/19/2005

RUSSELL COUNTY, ALABAMA

CASE NO.: CC 02-186-188

ORDER

The Petitioner, Jerry E. Whitley having filed a Petition for writ of Habeas Corpus and the Court having considered same, it is ORDERED that a hearing is set for October 23, 2002 at 3:00 P.M.

DONE this the 10th day of October 2002.

JUDGE, CIRCUIT COURT

Case 3:05-cy-00427 MEF-CSC	Document 12-11	IFiled 07/99/2005 Page 22 of 000 46
PLAINTIFF,)	RUSSELL COUNTY, ALABAMA
)	CASE NO.: CC 02-186,187,188
VS.)	
JERRY EUGENE WHITLEY)	
DEFENDANT.)	

ORDER

The parties appearing before the Court for a hearing on motions filed by the Defendant. The Court proceeded to hear testimony and upon consideration of same, it is ORDERED that the Motion to reduce bond is denied. It is further ORDERED that the Motion in Limine is granted as to photographs of co-defendants and denied as to videotape involving defendant and co-defendants. The Court reserves ruling on Motion in Limine as to single photograph of defendant.

DONE this the 23rd day of October 2002.

JUDGE, CIRCUIT COURT

Case 3:05-cv-00427-MEF-CSC PETITIONER,

VS.

STATE OF ALABAMA and THOMAS F.
BOSWELL, Sheriff of Russell
County, Alabama,

RESPONDENTS.

Document 12-11
Filed 07/19/2005 T Page 23 0600 4 C C RUSSELL COUNTY, ALABAMA

CASE NO.: CC 02-186-188

ORDER

The Fetitioner having filed a petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus and the Court having taken testimony ore tenus it is ORDERED that the Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus is denied.

DONE this the 25th day of October 2002.

JUDGE, CIRCUIT COURT

FILED IN OFFICE SERVINOIST COURT SERVINOIST COURT FUSSELL CO. AL

STATE OF ADADAMA Case 3:05-cv-00427-MEFFCSCAL Document 32-300 15 Filed 07/19/2005 Page 24 of 8/0465 MONTGOMERY, AL 36130-1555

H. W. "Bucky" McMILLAN Presiding Judge SUE BELL COBB PAMELA W. BASCHAB GREG SHAW A. KELLI WISE Judges

Lane W. Mann Clerk Wanda K. Ivey Assistant Clerk (334) 242-4590 FAX (334) 242-4689

ORDER

CR-02-0133

Ex parte Jerry E. Whitley (In re: State of Alabama vs. Jerry E. Whitley) (Russell Circuit Court: CC-02-186, 187 & 188).

Upon consideration of the above referenced Writ of Habeas Corpus, the Court of Criminal Appeals ORDERS that said petition be and the same is hereby denied.

McMillan, P.J., and Cobb, Baschab, Shaw, and Wise, JJ., concur.

Done this the 4th day of November, 2002.

"Bucky" McMILLAN, PRESIDING JUDGE

CCA/wki

cc: Honorable George R. Greene, Circuit Judge
Honorable Kathy S. Coulter, Circuit Clerk
Honorable Tommy Boswell, Sheriff
Honorable Bill Pryor, Attorney General
Honorable Laurel Wheeling Farrar, Attorney, Petitioner
Honorable Kenneth Davis, District Attorney
Office of Attorney General

STATE OF ALABAMA,	,	IMINAL CASE NUMBER	
Plaintiff,) CC-	-02-186-188	•
)		4
VS.)		תו בי
)		י כ
JERRY E. WHITLEY,)	불빛 리) I
Defendant.)	- 3 <u>3</u> -	₃ 9
		20 <u>1</u>	∸ ~ ՏՏ:=
MOTION FOR APPR	OVAL OF EXTRAORDI	NARY EXPENSES	5 E
	SUANT TO MAY v. STAT		,.

Comes now the defendant in the above-stated matter, by and through his attorney, Laurel W. Farrar, and requests the Court to approve in advance the reimbursement of expenses for additional laboratory analysis of the substance alleged in the indictment to be methamphetamine. Additionally, defendant would request approval in advance of expenses for obtaining the testimony of the independent expert witness at the trial of the above-stated case. In support of said request, defendant respectfully shows the Court as follows:

- 1. The results of the independent laboratory analysis of the representative samples revealed such a ratio of alleged controlled substance that further analysis is necessary.
- 2. It is defendant's position that under the definition of "mixture" set forth in <u>Code</u> of Alabama 1975 §13A-12-231, the results of said analysis show that the seized substances will not be found to satisfy the statutory requirements.
- 3. The alleged controlled substance was actually weighed, although it is in liquid form rather than solid and should be measured by components rather than weighed.
- The testimony of the independent witness at trial is necessary in order to get the results of the analysis into evidence for consideration by the jury.

- 5. The Alabama Court of Criminal Appeals held in *May v. State* that "expenses reasonably incurred" are reimbursable under <u>Code of Alabama</u> 1975, §15-12-21. Under *Ex Parte Barksdale*, 680 So.2d 1029 (1996), such expenses must be approved by the Trial Court prior to being incurred.
- 6. A separate motion entitled "Defendant's Motion to Continue" has been filed contemporaneously with the instant motion.
- 7. At this time, counsel for defendant estimates the cost of additional laboratory analysis at approximately \$700.00 and the amount necessary for transportation of the expert witness to appear at trial at approximately \$2,000.00 and would ask the Court to pre-approve costs in an amount capped at \$2,700.00 for such analysis and for costs of procuring testimony of the expert witness.

WHEREFORE, the premises considered, defendant requests moves this Court to approve payment of expenses as requested herein.

By:

Laurel W. Farrar

Attorneys for Defendant

EZELL & CHANCEY, LLP

1200 8th Avenue

P. O. Drawer 2500

Phenix City, AL 36868-2500

(334) 297-2400

Attorney Code FAR-036

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I am attorney for defendant Jerry E. Whitley and that I have served a copy of the within and foregoing motion upon the District Attorney of Russell County, Alabama, by placing a copy thereof in a receptacle held in his name in the Office of the Clerk of this Court, this 3rd day of December, 2002.

0004*∀*∜ Page 28 of 51

Case 3:05-cv-00427-MEF-CSC Document 12-11 Filed 07/19/2005

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF RUSSELL COUNTY, ALABAMA

STATE OF ALABAMA, Plaintiff,)))	CRIMINAL CASE NUMBER CC-02-186-188
vs. FERRY E. WHITLEY, Defendant.)))	
MOTION FOR APPR	ROVAL OF COURT	REPORTER EXPENSES

MOTION FOR APPROVAL OF COURT REPORTER EXPENSES PURSUANT TO MAY v. STATE

Comes now JERRY E. WHITLEY, defendant in the above-styled case, by and through his attorney, and requests the Court to approve in advance the reimbursement of expenses for a certified court reporter to furnish transcripts of the guilty pleas of defendant's co-defendants. Wayne Meadows and Steve Mosseson and a transcript of the suppression hearing in the above-stated case. In support of this request, defendant respectfully shows the Court as follows:

- The Alabama Court of Criminal Appeals held in *May v. State* that "expenses reasonably incurred" are reimbursable under Code of Alabama 1975, §15-12-21. Under *Ex Parte Barksdale*, 680 So.2d 1029 (1996), such expenses must be approved by the Trial Court prior to being incurred.
- 2. It is necessary that defendant's counsel have the use of transcripts of the guilty pleas of defendant's said co-defendants and a transcript of testimony at the suppression hearing in this case in order to effectively cross-examine the State's witnesses at the trial of the case.

WHEREFORE, defendant moves this Court to approve payment to Laurel W. Farrar at the conclusion of the above-styled case for expenses relating to the foregoing in the projected amount of \$_\(\frac{400.00}{}\).

EZELL & CHANCEY, LLP

By:

Attorneys for Defendant

P. O. Drawer 2500

Phenix City, AL 36868-2500

(334) 297-2400

Attorney Code FAR-036

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I am attorney for defendant and that I have served a copy of the within and foregoing motion upon the District Attorney of Russell County, Alabama, by placing a copy thereof in a receptacle held in his name in the Office of the Clerk of this Court, this 4th day of December, 2002.

Case 3:05-cv-00427-MEF-CSC **Bocument 12-11*** Filed 07/19/2005 Page 30 615

TERM DATE: 12/02/2002 PANEL: 001	STATES: A
STRIKE JUROP'S NAME	STRIKE JUROP'S NAME
0009 BRADSHAW ELSA ROSERO	80115 THACKER PRICE ROSWETA
ODES COCHRAN (CHIP) EMORY A EXC. ODES CORSORAN S MERE ODES COX COREON BUSENS C 0 3	OLES WOLFINGER RODNEY MARTIN C
10 0033 DEESE JESSE BOY 0038 ELLIOTT ALPHONSO 7 0039 ETHRIBGE C WAYNE 0041 FINCHER JAMES JULIUS DE 1	CC-02-1810-187-188 12-05-02 State of AL vs Jerry Whitley Landreau Farrar
COST HOLLOWAY WILLIE CRIGGS 4 0050 HOOD CREEK. 3 0052 HUGULEY EVELYN B 0068 JOHNSON-GIBBS NICOLE CXC. 0070 JONES MICHAEL WAYNE 0071 JORDAN TAMARA MATTHEWS T 0073 KIRBY DEL LEE 11 0076 LANDINGWAM T GARY ATT CXC. 0077 LES TIFFANY BANIELES 0089 MORE BOB THOMAS 0100 POWELL JIMMY E 0101 RATHEL E JO 0106 SANDERS SYLVIA JOHNSTON 0108 SCHLEY DESHUNDA LAFAYE 0109 SCOTT-CROW MICHELLES	1-48 1-41 2-57 2-49 3-28 4-59 4-133 5-111 6-20 6-78 7-71 8-115 8-83 9-66117 9-128 10-103 11-76 Alt.
0110 SELLS MARY TIPPS -0111 SESSIONS KIM MICHES 5 C/O 5 0113 SMITH JESSIE WILLIAMS	

Case 3:05-cv-00427-MEF-CSC	Document 12-11	Filed 07/19/2005	Page 310031476
STATE OF ALABAMA	*	IN THE CIRCUIT (COURT OF
STATE OF MULTIPLE	×		
VS.	*	RUSSELL COUNT	Y, ALABAMA
	*		
JERRY WHITLEY	÷	CASE NO. CC-02-1	86,187,188

STATE'S REQUESTED JURY CHARGE #1

I charge you Ladies and Gentlemen of the Jury that if a person is knowingly in possession of 28 grams or more of Methamphetamine of any mixture containing Methamphetamine then he is guilty of the crime of Trafficking in Possession.

	•
CIVEN	
GIVEN	

DENIED 12/5/02 given in organd general Chap-

Case 3:05-cv-00427-MEF-CSC	Document 12-11	Filed 07/19/2005 Page 32 0751
STATE OF ALABAMA	÷	IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF
	*	
vs.	*	RUSSELL COUNTY, ALABAMA
	*	
IEDDY WHITLEY	*	CASE NO. CC-02-186,187,188

STATE'S REQUESTED JURY CHARGE #2

I charge you Ladies and Gentlemen of the Jury that \mathbb{R} where an illegal drug is commingled with or diffused in a mixture, you-must count the weight of the entire mixture in determining if the defendant knowingly possessed 28 grams or more of a methamphetamine mixture.

GIVEN	6.00
DENIED	

Case 3:05-cv-00427-MEF-CSC	Document 12-11	Filed 07/19/2005	Page 3MM5178
STATE OF ALABAMA	*	IN THE CIRCUIT	COURT OF
	*		
VS.	*	RUSSELL COUNT	Y, ALABAMA 🏸 🦠
	*		·
IFRRY WHITLEY	×	CASE NO. CC-02-1	186,187,188

STATE'S REQUESTED JURY CHARGE #3

I charge you Ladies and Gentlemen of the Jury that when a controlled substance is found need a limit of the sumption on premises controlled by the defendant there is an inference under the law that the defendant possessed the controlled substance.

GIVEN ______.

DENIED _____

*	IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF
☆	
*	RUSSELL COUNTY, ALABAMA
*	
*	CASE NO. CC-02-186,187,188
	* * *

Case 3:05-cv-00427-MEF-CSC Document 12-11 Filed 07/19/2005 Page 34/01/54/75

STATE'S REQUESTED JURY CHARGE #4

I charge you Ladies and Gentlemen of the Jury that constructive possession occurs when a defendant exerts or is able to exert dominion and control over the controlled substance.

GIVEN	<u> </u>
DENIED	

Case 3:05-cv-00427-MEF-CSC	Document 12-11	Filed 07/19/2005	Page 35 of 5100
STATE OF ALABAMA	*	IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF	
	*		
VS.	*	RUSSELL COUNT	Y, ALABAMA
	*		
IEDDY WHITEFY	*	CASE NO. CC-02-1	186,187,188

STATE'S REQUESTED JURY CHARGE #5

I charge you Ladies and Gentlemen of the Jury that a defendants knowledge of a controlled substance may be established by circumstantial evidence and does not depend upon ownership.

But DOES REDUIRE \rhoossetSSINI

GIVEN ______

Case 3:05-cv-00427-MEF-CSC Document 12-11 Filed 07/19/2005 Page \$50.53 S 1.

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF RUSSELL COUNTY, ALABAMA

STATE OF ALABAMA. Plaintiff.)	CRIMINAL CASE NUMBER CC-02-186, 187, 188
***)	
VS.)	
)	
JERRY E. WHITLEY.)	
Defendant.)	

DEFENDANT'S REQUESTED JURY CHARGE #1 CONSTRUCTIVE POSSESSION

One of the elements which the State must prove in this case is possession. What do we mean by "possession?" To Possess means to have actual and immediate dominion or control over the object alleged to be possessed. There are two kinds of possession: actual and constructive. Where the State seeks a conviction based on the accused's alleged constructive possession of illegal drugs, it must establish that the accused had knowledge of the presence of those drugs.

If you find that the accused is in exclusive possession of the premises where the illegal drugs are found, it may be inferred that he had knowledge of the presence of the drugs.

However, if you find that the accused is not in exclusive possession of the premises where the drugs are found, you may not infer that the accused knew of the presence of those drugs without some other circumstances to support such an inference.

Palmer v. State, 593 So. 2d 143 (Ala. Crim. App. 1991).

17/5/0

9/10 9/15

Case 3:05-cv-00427-MEF-CSC	Document 12-11	Filed 07/19/2005	Page 67 of 51
IN THE CIRCUIT CO	OURT OF RUSSELL	COUNTY, ALABAMA	
		CRUMINI CASEN	LIMBER

STATE OF ALABAMA. Plaintiff.)))	CRIMINAL CASE NUMBER CC-02-186, 187, 188
VS.)	
JERRY E. WHITLEY, Defendant.)	

DEFENDANT'S REQUESTED JURY CHARGE #2 ELEMENTS OF CONSTRUCTIVE POSSESSION

There is an inference of constructive possession when the controlled substance is found on the premises owned or controlled by the accused. Three elements are necessary to establish possession of a controlled substance. They are:

- 1. Actual or potential physical control,
- 2. Intention to exercise dominion,
- 3. External manifestations of intent and control.

Rawls v. State, 585 So.2d 241 (Ala. Crim. App. 1991). Donahoo v. State, 505 So. 2d 1067 (Ala. Crim. App. 1986).

gmi 12/5/0~

Case 3:05-cv-00427-MEF-CSC Document 12-11 Filed 07/19/2005 Page 38 0 51 52 IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF RUSSELL COUNTY, ALABAMA

STATE OF ALABAMA. Plaintiff.)	CRIMINAL CASE NUMBER CC-02-186, 187, 188
)	
VS.)	
v 3.)	
JERRY E. WHITLEY.)	
Defendant.)	

DEFENDANT'S REQUESTED JURY CHARGE #3 REQUIREMENT OF PROOF OF POSSESSION

Conviction for the possession of illegal drugs cannot be based on constructive possession alone. Where the state relies on constructive possession, it is necessary that the prosecution prove that the defendant had knowledge of the presence of the illegal drugs. Moreover, where the accused is not in exclusive possession of the premises upon which illegal drugs are found. this knowledge may not be inferred without other evidence that connects the defendant with the contraband.

McGruder v. State, 560 So. 2d 1137 (Ala. Crim. App. 1989).

giver 12/5/0-

Case 3:05-cv-00427-MEF-CSC Document 12-11 Filed 07/19/2005 Page 19 01454

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF RUSSELL COUNTY, ALABAMA

STATE OF ALABAMA)		
vs.)	CASE NO.	CC 02-188
JERRY E. WHITLEY)		
DEFENDANT.)		

GUILTY VERDICT

We the jury, find the defendant, Jerry E. Whitley guilty of the offense of Resisting Arrest as charged in the indictment.

Foreperson

12-5-02

Date

Page, 40, of 51 IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF STATE OF ALABAMA, RUSSELL COUNTY, ALABAMA) PLAINTIFF,) CASE NO. CC 02-188 VS.) JERRY E. WHITLEY DEFENDANT.

Case 3:05-cv-00427-MEF-CSC Document 12-11

Filed 07/19/2005

VERDICT

December 5, 2002. Now comes the defendant, with assistance of counsel, for trial by a jury of twelve upon his plea of not quilty.

December 5, 2001. Now comes the jury and returns its unanimous verdict as follows: "We, the Jury, find the defendant, Jerry E. Whitley, guilty of the offense of Resisting Arrest, as charged in the indictment.

Date: December 5, 2002; Nicole Gibbs, Foreperson." The verdict being in proper form, the Court accepts the verdict.

The defendant is remanded to the custody of the Sheriff of Russell County. Sentencing is scheduled for January 14, 2003 at 10:00 A.M.

George R. Greene, Circuit Judge

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF RUSSELL COUNTY, ALABAMA

STATE OF ALABAMA, Plaintiff,)))	CRIMINAL CASE NUMBER CC-02-186-188
VS.)	
JERRY E. WHITLEY,)	
Defendant.)	

ORDER ON MOTION FOR APPROVAL OF COURT REPORTER EXPENSES

Upon consideration of the Motion for Approval of Court Reporter Expenses Pursuant to May v. State filed by the attorney for the defendant in the above-stated cause, the Court is of the opinion that the expenses requested to be reimbursed relating to transcripts of guilty pleas of codefendants and testimony presented at the suppression hearing in the case are "expenses reasonably incurred" and due to be approved in advance and that said motion is due to be granted.

reimburse Laurel W. Farrar at the conclusion of her representation of the defendant at the trial

Gourn level in the above-styled case the projected approximate sum of \$\(\frac{400}{000}\) for the

Epurpose of obtaining the said transcripts.

So ORDERED, this 446 day of Alle day of

Judge, Russell County Circuit Court

Case 3:05-cv-00427-MEF-CSC STATE OF ALABAMA PLAINTIFF,	Document 12-11	RUSSELL COUNTY, ALABAMA CASE NO.: CC 02-18 (-188)
VS.)	
JERRY E. WHITLEY)	
DEFENDANT.)	

ORDER

The defendant having filed a motion for approval of extraordinary expenses for additional laboratory analysis and the Court having reviewed and considered same, it is ORDERED that the motion is denied.

DONE this the 5th day of December 2002.

JUDGE, CIRCUIT COURT

FILED IN OFFICE 002 OEC -9 ANTI: 46 JECULYCIST COURT

Gase 3:05-cx:00427AMEF-CSC	Document 12-11	IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF Filed 07/19/2005 Page 43.01.51
VS.))	CASE NO.: CC 02-186-188
JERRY E. WHITLEY)	, .
DEFENDANT.)	

ORDER

The defendant having filed a Motion in Limine and the Court having considered same, the Motion is granted in part and denied in part in open court on the day of trial.

DONE this the 5^{th} day of December 2002.

How & Been JUDGE, CIRCUIT COURT

FILED IN OFFICE

Case 3:05-cv-00427-MEF-CSC

Document 12-11

Filed 07/19/2005

Page 4

NAME: dorug Whittey

EXTENSION OF PROBATION OR PAROLE DATE NOTICE FOR FAILURE TO PAY COURT ORDERED MONIES

The length of time of probation or parole shall be automatically extended for six month intervals for all Defendants who have not fully paid all court ordered monies prior to the expiration of their initial term of probation or prior to the end of their parole date. Court ordered monies includes: fines, court costs, fees, and restitution.

The total of court ordered monies due in this case is 5667.00 Afty fus

Office of Russell County, Alabama. Failure to do so will be considered a violation of +400 VOC the Defendants' probation or parole. the Defendants' probation or parole.

George R. Greene Circuit Judge

____ year(s) _____ life. Sentence to include five (5) years enhancement pursuant to 13A-12-270, Code of Alabama, and an additional five (5) years enhancement pursuant to 13A-12-250, Code of Alabama. Defendant is sentenced to the custody of the Sheriff of Russell County for a period of ____ month(s) Defendant's sentence shall be concurrent with the sentence(s) imposed in Defendant shall pay restitution in the amount of \$_____ to The Clerk of the court is authorized to collect and disburse the restitution. Restitution is to be paid prior to other court costs. Defendant shall be given credit for time served. Defendant shall pay a fine in the amount of \$_____. Defendant shall pay \$10.00 per day incarceration fee. Defendant shall pay the cost of this case. Defendant shall pay the Alabama Crime Victims Compensation Commission \$ 100. Defendant shall perform _____ hours of community service.

ì

	Defendant shall undergo a substance abuse program while at the Department of Corrections.
	Defendant shall complete a substance abuse program through the Court Referral Officer.
	Defendant is assessed with \$100.00 to Forensic Services Trust Fund Act No. 95-733.
	Defendant's drivers license are suspended for a period of 6 months.
	Defendant shall reimburse the State of Alabama the costs of his/her appointed counsel.
	Payment of court ordered monies shall be a condition of parole, early release, S.I.R., or work release.
	Defendant shall submit to the taking of DNA samples.
	Defendant shall register as a sex offender.
	SUSPENDED SENTENCE
	Sentence is suspended, and the defendant is placed onsupervised unsupervised probation for a period of
	SPLIT SENTENCE
	Sentence is suspended, and the defendant is placed on supervised probation for a period of, however, as a first condition of probation the defendant shall serve a period of in the custody of the commissioner of the Department of Corrections/Sheriff of Russell County. Upon release from incarceration, the defendant must report within 5 days to the Russell County Probation Office.
	REVERSE SPLIT SENTENCE
	Sentence is suspended, and the defendant is placed on supervised probation for a period of; however, upon completion of said probation period, the defendant shall serve a period of in the custody of the Sheriff of Russell County, Alabama.
	BOOT CAMP
	Defendant shall serve up to 180 days in the custody of the Commissioner of the Department of Corrections and he shall successfully complete the disciplinary, Rehabilitation program. When said program is completed or defendant is released from said program, he shall be returned to this Court for a hearing on his application for probation.
	Defendant waives any right to appeal and waives any right to any post conviction remedy.
7	Defendant was advised that he/she has the right to appeal his/her conviction and sentence, and if declared indigent he/she has the right to appointed counsel and the court reporter's transcript will be provided without cost to the defendant.
	Review is scheduled for, 2003 at
	Defendant gave oral notice of appeal.
	ONE and ORDERED in open court this He day of January 2003.
D.	1/1/1 _
	TO THE COURT

JUDGE, CIRCUIT COURT

Case 3:05-cv-00427-MEF-CSC	Document 12-1	11 Filed 07/19/2005	Page 47 0/13432
STATE OF ALABAMA) IN	THE CIRCUIT COURT (OE
VS.) RU	JSSELL COUNTY, ALAI	BAMA
JERRY E. WHITLEY) C.	ASE NOS.: CC 02-1861	87, -188

NOTICE OF APPEAL AND MOTION TO APPOINT APPELLATE COUNSEL

Comes now Defendant, by and through his counsel of record, gives notice of appeal of his conviction and Sentencing Order dated January 14, 2003, in the above matters and moves the Court to appoint an attorney to represent him on appeal.

WHEREFORE, the Defendant gives notice of Appeal and prays the Court will appoint appellate counsel within the time to file a Motion for New Trial.

This the 14th Day of January, 2003.

Bv:

Laurel W. Farrar

Attorneys for Defendant

1200 8th Avenue

P. O. Drawer 2500

Phenix City, AL 36868-2500

& CHANCEY, LLP

(334) 297-2400

Attorney Code FAR-036

FILED IN OFFICE
2003 JAN 14 AN 1:47

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I am attorney for the defendant and that I have served a copy of the within and foregoing Request for Discovery upon the District Attorney of Russell County, Alabama, by placing a copy thereof in a receptacle held in his name in the Office of the Clerk of this Court, this 14th day of January, 2003.

Case 3:05-cv-00427-MEF-CSC	Document 12	-11 Filed 07/19/2005	Page 49 0004 94
STATE OF ALABAMA) 1	N THE CIRCUIT COURT	OF.
VS) I	RUSSELL COUNTY, ALA	BAMA

CASE NOS.: CC 02-186, -187, -188

NOTICE OF APPEAL AND MOTION TO APPOINT APPELLATE COUNSEL

Comes now Defendant, by and through his counsel of record, gives notice of appeal of his conviction and Sentencing Order dated January 14, 2003, in the above matters and moves the Court to appoint an attorney to represent him on appeal.

WHEREFORE, the Defendant gives notice of Appeal and prays the Court will appoint appellate counsel within the time to file a Motion for New Trial.

This the 14th Day of January, 2003.

JERRY E. WHITLEY

. U

Laurel W. Farrar

Attorneys for Defendant

EZELL & CHANCEY, LLP

1200 8th Avenue

P. O. Drawer 2500

Phenix City, AL 36868-2500

(334) 297-2400

Attorney Code FAR-036

FILED IN OFFICE

2001 JAN 14, AN 1:47

TOPONY SECONDER

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that Lam attorney for the defendant and that I have served a copy of the within and foregoing Request for Discovery upon the District Attorney of Russell County, Alabama, by placing a copy thereof in a receptacle held in his name in the Office of the Clerk of this Court, this 14th day of January, 2003.

Laurel W. Farrar

IN THE TIPLUIT COURT OF AIR OF ALABAMA VS WHITLE, JEMEY EUSEME	HURSELL SERVICE P. GREENE
APPEAL DATE: 01/14/2003	
THOUGHNEY STATUS: ORANTED INDIGENCY STATUS AT TRIAL COURT APP. TRIAL COUNSEL PERMITTED TO W/D ON INDIGENT STATUS REVOKED ON APPEAL: INDIGENT STATUS GRANTED ON APPEAL:	
DEATH PENALTY: NO	
APPEAL TYPE: STATE CONVICTION	
THIS IS AN APPEAL FROM A CONVICTION.	DATE OF SENTENCE: 01/14/2003
DATE OF CONVERTENCE AS SOCIETY	DATE OF SENTENCE: DIVINGENCE
YOUTHFUL OFFENDER STATUS: DENIED	
CO/CASE NUMBER: 57/CC 2002 000188.00 CODE: REST CONVICTION: RESISTING ARRES	T ACTION: CONVICTED STATUTE: 13A-010-041
SENTENCE: CONF: 00 YRS 06 MOS 000 DAYS SENTENCE: PROB: 00 YRS 00 MOS 000 DAYS	LIFE: NO LIFEWO: NO
POST-JUDGMENT MOTIONS FILED: DT FILED MOTION FOR NEW TRIAL MOTION FOR JUDG. OF ACQUIT MOTION TO W/D GUICTY PLEA MOTION FOR ATTY TO W/DRAW OTHER	DT DENIED CON BY AGREE
COURT REPORTER(S):	WILSON, LINDA S. C/O HON. GEORGE R. GREENE,
ADDRESS:	PHENIX CITY , AL 34847
ADDRESS: APPELLATE COUNSEL #1: ADDRESS:	PHENIX CITY , AL 30001 FARRAR LAUREL WHEELING PO DRAWER 2500
ADDRESS: 	PHENIX CITY , AL 30001
ADDRESS: 	PHENIX CITY , AL 30001 FARRAR LAUREL WHEELING PO DRAWER 2500 PHENIX CITY , AL 36868
ADDRESS: APPELLATE COUNSEL #1: ADDRESS: PHONE NUMBER: APPELLATE COUNSEL #2:	PHENIX CITY , AL 30001 FARRAR LAUREL WHEELING PO DRAWER 2500 PHENIX CITY , AL 36868 334-297-2400
ADDRESS: APPELLATE COUNSEL #1: ADDRESS: PHONE NUMBER: APPELLATE COUNSEL #2: ADDRESS:	PHENIX CITY , AL 30001 FARRAR LAUREL WHEELING PO DRAWER 2500 PHENIX CITY , AL 36868
ADDRESS: APPELLATE COUNSEL #1: ADDRESS: PHONE NUMBER: APPELLATE COUNSEL #2: ADDRESS: PHONE NUMBER: APPELLANT (PRO SE): ADDRESS: AIS #:	PHENIX CITY , AL 30001 FARRAR LAUREL WHEELING PO DRAWER 2500 PHENIX CITY , AL 36868 334-297-2400 WHITLEY JERRY EUGENE 150 REYNOLDS ROAD
ADDRESS: APPELLATE COUNSEL #1: ADDRESS: PHONE NUMBER: ADDRESS: PHONE NUMBER: ADDRESS: APPELLANT (PRO SE): ADDRESS:	PHENIX CITY , AL 30001 FARRAR LAUREL WHEELING PO DRAWER 2500 PHENIX CITY , AL 36868 334-297-2400 WHITLEY JERRY EUGENE 150 REYNOLDS ROAD